

Why a new review?

Francesco Bottaccioli - Editor in chief

Because we are convinced of the need for a psychoneuroendocrineimmunology review that differs from the neuroscience or psychosomatic ones. A review that is at the same time interdisciplinary (thus fostering the exchange among scientific disciplines) and intercultural (promoting the exchange between the scientific and the humanistic cultures).

A space for thinking characterized by a shared common language which is able to communicate to the health researchers and professionals without diluting the scientific and clinical content of the communication.

We believe that this is possible because psychoneuroendocrineimmunology is a programme of research involving potentially all sciences that deal with humankind and its context: from physics to biomedicine, psychology, socio-cultural anthropology and philosophy.

In ancient times, both in the East and West, there used to be a constant and broad exchange between philosophy and science where one nurtured the other reciprocally. As Hans-Georg Gadamer remembers, modern times have witnessed a progressive mutual estrangement that forced philosophy into the private sphere and made science irresponsible.

The only countertrend example came from quantum physics and philosophic physicists of the Twentieth Century. However the dialogue between the sciences of nature, life and humankind has been substantially interrupted for more than half a century.

Thanks to psychoneuroendocrineimmunology, it is now possible to recover this dialogue. The Pnei's systemic paradigm, founded on molecular biology, allows each discipline to maintain the peculiarity of their own viewpoint while communicating effectively at the same time. It enables the bi-directional influences of experiences for the advancement of knowledge and human wisdom.

We are determined to develop this Pnei Review programme over time with the support of readers, Sipnei members, independent researchers.